# Linear Convergence in Federated Learning: **Tackling Client Heterogeneity and Sparse Gradients**

## Federated Learning Setup



Clients

- Each client  $i \in C$  has a local loss function  $f_i(x) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim D_i}[F_i(x; z)]$ .
- Goal: Minimize

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} f_i(x)$$

- **Q.** How can we achieve linear convergence rates?
- **Q.** How do such rates compare with a centralized baseline?

## Challenges, Motivation, and Contributions

### Challenges

- Statistical Heterogeneity: Clients' loss functions can have different minima.
- Systems Heterogeneity: Clients can have different operating speeds. Can lead to **stragglers** that slow down pace of computation.
- Intermittent and Imprecise Communication: Local steps & compression.

### **Existing Algorithms and Results**

- FedAvg, FedProx, and FedNova all fail to guarantee linear convergence to the global minimum  $x^*$ . Suffer from "speed-accuracy conflict".
- For **Scaffold** and **FedSplit**: No lower bounds; do not account for systems heterogeneity; no sparsification/compression.

### Contributions

- Propose a new algorithmic framework called **FedLin** that tackles statistical+systems heterogeneity, and sparse gradients.
- Prove that FedLin matches centralized rates despite arbitrary heterogeneity.
- Provide the first tight linear convergence rate analysis in FL.
- Provide the first analysis of biased gradient sparsification in FL.
- Key Takeaway: Even mild statistical heterogeneity across clients' loss functions can hurt convergence rates.

## **Email:** {amitra20, rayanaj, pappasg, hassani}@seas.upenn.edu

## Proposed Algorithm: FedLin

Full gradient at this point is  $\nabla f(\bar{x}_t)$ 



**Idea:** Use  $\nabla f(\bar{x}_t)$  as guiding direction in round t

• FedLin exploits memory for objective heterogeneity, client-specific learning rates  $(\eta_i \propto 1/\tau_i)$  for systems heterogeneity, and errorfeedback for gradient sparsification. The FedLin update rule is:

$$x_{i,\ell+1}^{(t)} \leftarrow x_{i,\ell}^{(t)} - \eta_i (\nabla f_i(x_{i,\ell}^{(t)}) - \nabla f_i(\bar{x}_t) + g_t)$$

- Here,  $g_t$  is an inexact version of  $\nabla f(\bar{x}_t)$  due to sparsification.
- Key Property: Global minimum is a fixed point of FedLin.
- FedAvg, FedProx, FedNova, and Scaffold *do not* have this property.

## Main Theoretical Results

• Suppose each  $f_i(x)$  is L-smooth and  $\mu$ - strongly convex.

Theorem I (Upper Bound for FedLin)

Suppose  $\tau_i \ge 1$ ,  $\eta_i = 1/(6L\tau_i)$ . Then, after *T* comm. rounds, we have:  $f(\bar{x}_{T+1}) - f(x^*) \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{6\kappa}\right)^T (f(\bar{x}_1) - f(x^*))$ 

### Theorem II (Lower Bound for FedLin)

Suppose all clients perform *H* local steps. Given any  $H \ge 2$ ,  $\exists$  an initial condition  $\bar{x}_1$ , and an instance involving 2 clients, s.t. for FedLin,  $f(\bar{x}_{T+1}) - f(x^*) \ge \exp(-4T) \left( f(\bar{x}_1) - f(x^*) \right)$ 

#### Main Takeaways:

- FedLin guarantees linear convergence to  $x^*$  despite arbitrary objective and systems heterogeneity.
- Convergence rate is **tight**. No benefits of performing multiple local steps.  $\eta \propto 1/H$  is necessary.
- Lower bound holds even for **simple** instances: quadratic losses with same minima!

Uplink/ Downlink	Error- Feedback	Convergence Rate ( $\delta = d/k$ is the compression ratio)		
Server	No	$f(\bar{x}_{T+1}) - f(x^*) \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\delta_s(2 + \sqrt{\delta_s})\kappa}\right)^T (f(\bar{x}_1) - f(x^*))$		
Server	Yes	$f(\bar{x}_{T+1}) - f(x^*) \le 2\kappa \left(1 - \frac{1}{96\delta_s\kappa}\right)^T (f(\bar{x}_1) - f(x^*))$		
Clients	Yes	$f(\bar{x}_{T+1}) - f(x^*) \le 2\kappa \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\bar{\eta}\mu\right)^T \left(f(\bar{x}_1) - f(x^*)\right) + O(\bar{\eta}); \bar{\eta} \propto \frac{1}{\delta_c}$		

 $x^*|^2$  $|x_{t}|^{-1}$ 

=\_2 \*8 10-10  $\|\bar{x}_t$ 10-2

Algorithm	Linear Conv. to $x^*$	Lower Bounds	Systems Heterogeneity	Sparsification/ Compression
FedAvg	×	$\checkmark$	×	×
FedProx	×	—	×	×
FedNova	×	—	$\checkmark$	×
FedSplit	×	—	×	×
Scaffold	$\checkmark$	—	×	×
FedLin	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Table: Succinct comparison of FedLin with state-of-the-art FL algorithms



## Additional Results and Simulations

## Table: Summary of results for gradient sparsification





